Intended Hydration Strategies and Knowledge of Exercise-Associated Hyponatraemia in Marathon Runners: A Questionnaire-Based Study

Thomas Leggett, MBChB*; Jonathan Williams, MSc*; Colm Daly, PhD*; Courtney Kipps, MSc†; Richard Twycross-Lewis, PhD*

*Centre for Sports and Exercise Medicine, Queen Mary University of London, England; †Institute of Sport, Exercise and Health, University College London, England

Context: Exercise-associated hyponatremia (EAH) is a potentially fatal condition that can be prevented by avoiding excessive fluid intake. Running has become more popular in recent years, so it is important to assess the safety of runners' hydration strategies.

Objective: (1) To explore the intended hydration strategies of a sample of marathon runners before the 2014 London Marathon, (2) to examine their sources of information and knowledge about fluid intake and their understanding of EAH, and (3) to compare these findings with the results of a similar study carried out before the 2010 London Marathon.

Design: Cross-sectional study. **Setting:** The 2014 London Marathon.

Patients or Other Participants: A total of 298 runners (148 males, 150 females), 0.83% of all race finishers.

Main Outcome Measure(s): Planned frequency, type, and volume of hydration; sources of information about appropriate drinking; and understanding of hyponatremia were explored. Comparisons were made with data collected from a sample of

participants at the 2010 London Marathon. Data relating to the 2014 cohort are presented in descriptive form. Comparisons of the 2010 and 2014 cohorts were conducted using parametric and nonparametric methods.

Results: A total of 48.7% of the 2014 cohort listed drinking to thirst as the most important factor affecting their hydration strategy during the race. This compared with 25.3% of runners from the 2010 cohort and represented an increase ($\chi^2 = 29.1$, P = .001); 5.8% of the 2014 cohort planned on drinking more than 3.5 L, compared with 12% of the 2010 cohort ($\chi^2 = 4.310$, P = .038).

Conclusions: The number of sampled individuals using thirst to guide hydration strategies in the 2014 London Marathon increased from 2010. However, more than half of the 2014 cohort was not planning to drink to thirst. Runners still need to be educated about the risks of overdrinking as they continue to demonstrate a lack of knowledge and understanding.

Key Words: physical endurance, fluid intake, thirst

Key Points

- Since the 2010 London Marathon, education led to improvements in runners' intended fluid strategies for the 2014 London Marathon.
- Exercise-associated hyponatremia remains a medically significant problem for marathon runners.
- More runners currently had safer intended hydration strategies, but a distinct lack of understanding persisted. Therefore, further education of runners about appropriate fluid intake is still required.

ver the last 2 decades, marathon running has become an increasingly popular sport. Le Exercise-associated hyponatremia (EAH) is a concerning and serious medical complication in endurance athletes. Worldwide, a number of marathon deaths due to EAH have been recorded, including a young runner in the 2007 London Marathon; 15 runners in the 2003 London Marathon were admitted to the hospital with EAH.

Exercise-associated hyponatremia is defined as a serum sodium concentration of less than 135 mmol/L during or up to 24 hours after prolonged physical activity.⁴ The main cause is believed to be excessive fluid intake.^{5,6} A low serum sodium concentration can be associated with a range of signs and symptoms, such as nausea, headaches, and seizures. A sodium concentration of less than 135 mmol/L will often not result in any physical signs or symptoms but

may still lead to the development of more serious, life-threatening complications, such as pulmonary or cerebral edema, unconsciousness, and death. Additional risk factors for EAH include inappropriate antidiuretic hormone release and metabolism and extreme environmental conditions.⁷

Studies carried out at the 2002 Boston Marathon⁸ and the 2006 London Marathon⁴ indicated that up to 13% of finishers had asymptomatic hyponatremia; however, the point at which asymptomatic athletes become symptomatic has not been established. Guidance has varied over time as more research has been undertaken. Previous suggestions were to base hydration strategies on the sweat rate⁹; the authors of a 2017 update¹⁰ advised drinking to thirst and using body weight to guide hydration. The use of thirst as a guide to hydration strategies was widely recommended by

Table 1. Characteristics of the Study Samples

			Statistical	
	2010	2014	Test	P
Variable	Data ¹⁴	Data	Value ^a	Value
Sample size	217	298		
No. declined to				
take part	15	17		
Mean age, y	38.6	37.5	t = -1.189	.235
	Mean	± SD		
Finishing time, h	4.36 ± 0.55	4.66 ± 0.49	<i>t</i> = 2.961	.003
	No.	(%)		
Females	66 (30.4)	150 (50.3)	U = 25892.00	.001
Males	151 (69.6)	148 (49.7)		
First marathon	117 (54)	162 (54.4)	U = 32328.00	.997
Member of a				
running club	56 (25.8)	83 (27.9)	U = 32994.50	.606

^a Independent-samples *t* test or Mann-Whitney *U* test.

consensus statements and other publications.^{6,11–13} An earlier investigation by members of our group¹⁴ explored the hydration strategies of 217 runners attending registration for the 2010 London Marathon (0.6% of the total finishers¹⁵). The runners lacked knowledge about safe drinking and prevention of EAH on race day: 12% planned to drink in excess of 3500 mL during the marathon, a volume that could have put them at significantly higher risk of developing EAH.^{4,8,14} A total of 55 runners (25.3%) planned to drink according to their thirst. Only 35.5% had a basic understanding of EAH.

The London Marathon, held in the spring over a flat city course, is a full 26.2-mi (42.2-km) marathon. Water bottles (250 mL) were available at 23 water stations situated at 1-mi (1.6-km) intervals from miles 3 to 25. In addition, stations at 5, 10, 15, 19, and 23 miles distributed 380-mL sachets of sports energy drinks (Lucozade Sport, Uxbridge, United Kingdom).

Since 2010, efforts have been made to raise awareness of the risks of overdrinking and EAH. Specific information is now provided in the prerace material, which is supplied to every runner. We aimed to explore the intended hydration strategies of a sample of marathon runners before the 2014 London Marathon, to examine their sources of information and knowledge about fluid intake and their understanding of EAH, and to compare these findings with those of a similar study carried out before the 2010 London Marathon.

METHODS

Prior ethical approval for the study was obtained from the Queen Mary University of London Research Ethics Committee. Any athlete scheduled to run in the 2014 London Marathon was eligible to be invited to take part at the event registration. Consistent with the 2010 research protocol, ¹⁴ every ninth runner attending was approached at staggered intervals throughout the 4 registration days and invited to participate. Runners were given an information

sheet outlining the study. They were excluded if they had any problems understanding the questionnaire (ie, language barriers or learning difficulty).

Those who agreed to participate were given the study questionnaire, which was validated in 2010 (see the Appendix), and asked to complete it at the research station.

The questionnaire comprised the following sections:

- 1. Background demographics
- 2. Drinking strategies
- 3. Sources of information about fluid intake
- 4. Knowledge of EAH

Statistical Analysis

We used SPSS (version 21.0; IBM Corp, Armonk, NY) for analysis. Data from the questionnaire were presented descriptively. Chi squared, independent t, and Mann-Whitney U tests were used to assess the differences in proportions between data collected in 2010 and 2014.

Findings related to the sources of EAH knowledge were explored using descriptive statistical methods. A *P* value of <.05 was defined as statistically significant.

RESULTS

Sample Characteristics

A total of 315 runners were invited to be part of the study (0.9% of all finishers 17); 17 of these runners did not take part in the study due to language barriers or lack of time. The final sample was 298 participants (0.83% of all finishers 17). Sample demographics are described in Table 1. The mean finishing time of the cohort was 4.66 \pm 0.49 hours versus 4.50 hours for all the runners in the 2014 London Marathon. 17

Consumption Volume

Runners were asked to estimate the total milliliters of fluid they planned to consume over the course of the race. Those planning to drink more than 3.5 L were deemed to be at increased risk of EAH. This volume guideline is based on the findings of previous studies, which suggested a higher risk of developing EAH, 4.8 and was used in the 2010 study. The total volume the runners planned to drink during the race is shown in the Figure.

Overall, 241 (81%) of the 2014 participants specified the volume they intended to consume. The median (interquartile range) volume these runners planned to consume was 1.0 (0.4–1.6) L. Of these 241 runners, 14 (5.8%) planned to drink more than the 3.5-L threshold compared with 26 runners (12%) in the 2010 cohort ($\chi^2 = 4.310$, P = .038; Table 2).

Table 2. Comparison of 2010 and 2014 Data for Race Strategies

Variable	2010 Data14	2014 Data	Statistical Test Value ^a	P Value
Hydration strategy during race? No. (%)	208 (95.8)	277 (93)	<i>U</i> = 31 108.00	.1050
Median (interquartile range) planned volume consumption during race, L	1.2 (0.6–2.2)	1.0 (0.4–0.6)	<i>t</i> = 2.421	.0161
No. of runners intending to drink >3.5 L (%)	26 (12)	14 (5)	Z = 3.216	.0006

^a Independent-samples *t* test, Mann-Whitney *U* test, or Z ratio.




Figure. Volume of fluid (L) runners planned to drink during the marathon. The horizontal line represents the volume that previous research has shown may put runners at greater risk of hyponatremia.

Frequency of Drinking

Runners in the 2014 marathon planned to drink at a median of 8 (4–11) water stations compared with a median of 10 (5–24) stations for the runners in the 2010 marathon (t = 3.353, P = .001), indicating a reduction in consumption frequency. Furthermore, a median of 3 (2–5) stations dispensing sports drinks were intended stops for the 2014 runners compared with a median of 4 (2–5) for the 2010 runners (t = 3.869, P = .0001; Table 3).

Drinking to Thirst

Thirst was reported as the main factor influencing the intended hydration strategy by 145 (48.7%) runners in 2014, which was more than the 55 (25.3%) of runners in 2010 ($\chi^2 = 29.113$, P = .001; Table 4).

The proportions of female and male runners who planned on drinking to thirst differed (55% and 43%, respectively; $\chi^2 = 4.365$, P = .037). We found no difference between the proportions of experienced and nonexperienced runners ($\chi^2 = 0.256$, P = .613) planning on drinking to thirst.

Table 3. Comparison of 2010 and 2014 Data for Frequency of Hydration Attempts^a

	Median No. (Interquartile Range)		Independent-		
Variable	2010 Data ¹⁴	2014 Data	Samples t Test Value	<i>P</i> Value	
Planned water- station visits Planned sports- sachet-station	10 (5–24)	8 (4–11)	3.353	.0010	
visits	4 (2–5)	3 (2–5)	3.869	.0001	

^a Water bottle = 250 mL, sports sachets = 380 mL.

Education

Absolute Knowledge. In 2014, 190 (63.8%) runners claimed to have heard of hyponatremia. However, in response to the question exploring their knowledge of EAH, only 70 (40%) demonstrated a basic understanding, as qualified by the criteria in Table 5.

Runners' Self-Perceptions of Their Knowledge. In total, 258 (86.6%) of the 2014 runners perceived that they knew enough about safe drinking on marathon day.

Sources of Knowledge

A total of 282 (94.6%) runners claimed to have either read or been told about appropriate hydration strategies on marathon day. Most had gained their information from reading the official prerace information magazine (66.4%), talking to running friends (40.4%), or reading other running magazines (30.9%). Most runners had identified more than 1 source of information. All sources of information are shown in Table 6.

DISCUSSION

Exercise-associated hyponatremia is a multifactorial condition in which consumption of a large amount of fluid is a recognized risk factor. Consuming a volume of 3.5 L has been associated with a higher risk of developing EAH. Recent deaths attributed to EAH^{4,18,19} have highlighted the importance of avoiding overdrinking.

Data collected in 2014 showed that 14 (5%) of the runners planned to drink more than 3.5 L during the race. Compared with the previous data from 2010, when 26 runners (12%) planned to drink in this range, this decrease demonstrated a change in runners' behavior 4 years later. This is further highlighted by the reduction in the number of water stations from which the runners intended to drink during the race. Both intentions correlated with 2 associated risk factors for developing EAH.

The current guidance is for a runner to guide fluid intake based on thirst. It was important to examine what proportion of the runners planned to use their own thirst

Table 4. Comparison of 2010 and 2014 Data for Those Planning to Drink to Thirst

	No	. (%)	Independent-	
	2010	2014	Samples t	P
Variable	Data ¹⁴	Data	Test Value	Value
Drinking to thirst	55 (25.3)	145 (48.7)	29.1131	.0010

Table 5. Comparison of 2010 and 2014 Data for Runners' Knowledge of Exercise-Associated Hyponatremia (EAH)^a

	No. (%)		Mann-		
Variable	2010 Data ¹⁴	2014 Data	Whitney <i>U</i> Test Value	<i>P</i> Value	
Heard of EAH Basic understanding	141 (65)	190 (63.8)	31 582.50	.756	
of EAH Runners felt they	77 (37)	70 (40)	18 620	.865	
knew enough	182 (83.9)	258 (86.6)	33 155.50	.249	

^a Those who mentioned overdrinking, or dilution effects, etc, were deemed to have a basic understanding of EAH. Understanding of EAH was deemed "basic" if their answer in free text identified a cause such as drinking too much fluid or signs and symptoms included words associated with malaise, collapse, or death, as per the 2010 study.¹⁴

as guidance. In this study, 48.7% of runners listed *drinking* to thirst as the most important factor affecting their hydration strategy throughout the race. Although this was an increase from 4 years previously, more than half of the runners in our sample were still not aware of current guidance regarding thirst as the main indicator for hydration. This change suggests that messages regarding hydration are beginning to reach a larger proportion of the running population.

Race inexperience is a known risk factor for EAH.^{20,21} However, we noted no statistical difference observed between the numbers of experienced and nonexperienced runners planning on drinking to thirst. More race experience does not always equate to a better understanding of the science underlying conditions such as EAH. This affirms the importance of directing messages and education about this topic at runners of all experience levels.

We found that 94.6% of runners had read or been told about hydration on marathon day, and 86.6% perceived they knew enough about drinking, which might imply that a similar proportion would plan to drink an appropriate and safe amount. Yet only 48% planned on drinking to thirst and only 40% of runners had a basic understanding of EAH, indicating that the message to runners about appropriate hydration and EAH still requires further dissemination.

A total of 66.4% of runners in our sample listed the official prerace information magazine as a source of

information regarding fluid intake. The 2014 official magazine contained more prominently placed, detailed, and specific advice about appropriate and safe drinking, including the potential hazards of drinking too much and EAH. The magazine gave clear instructions to avoid drinking too much: "Drinking too much can be very dangerous and lead to hyponatraemia (water intoxication), fits [seizures], and even death."16 Sent to each runner as part of the final preparation before the race, the magazine is the single most important London Marathon educational resource. No other specifically directed information is given to all runners. Interestingly, the data gathered on sources of information showed that most runners consulted more than 1 source, including a wide range from magazines to the Internet and discussions with peers. This fact highlights how broadly information can be distributed throughout a community: runners in this case. The message about safe fluid intake and EAH still needs to be reinforced, and perhaps more targeted attempts to dispense the information through the running community would affect runners' future behavior.

The main limitations of this study were using a questionnaire and predictive measures and comparing them with biological factors and outcomes. Exerciseassociated hyponatremia is a multifactorial biological process affecting the dilution effects of fluids within the body. A 3.5-L volume was used as a guideline; however, it is not possible to say that this volume of water should be used as a definitive cutoff, as many other factors can contribute to the development of EAH. The runners in the 2014 study had a difference of approximately 20 minutes in their average finishing time, which may have influenced the results. Although a low percentage of total finishers completed the questionnaire, the numbers were comparable across the 2010 and 2014 studies; we invited every ninth runner to ensure an equal distribution of runners across the signup days at the marathon. Results of the questionnaires depended on the honesty of the respondents, and accuracy cannot be determined; such recall bias is a general limitation when using questionnaires. The questionnaire was the same for both studies, and it was previously validated for the 2010 study, allowing valid comparisons to be made. The risks and consequences of EAH are known, but many runners remain unaware of how much they should drink. More education of runners is needed.

Table 6. 2014 Sources of Information for Runners About Fluid Intake on Marathon Day Compared With 2010 Data

	No.	(%)	Mann-Whitney	P	
Variable	2010 Data ¹⁴	2014 Data	U Test Value	Value	
Read or told about drinking	202 (93.1)	282 (94.6)	32 542.00	.596	
Virgin Money London Marathon Magazine	152 (70)	198 (66.4)	30 821.00	.311	
Running friends	97 (44.7)	121 (40.4)	30 589.50	.308	
Running magazine ^a	75 (34.6)	92 (30.9)	30750.00	.339	
Virgin Money London Marathon Web site	57 (26.3)	71 (23.8)	31 174.00	.488	
Running club	36 (16.6; 64% of club runners)	49 (16.4; 59% of club runners)	31 765.00	.801	
Information from charity	51 (23.5; 29.1% of charity runners)	62 (20.8; 26.4% of charity runners)	30741.00	.400	
Running coach	30 (13.8)	54 (18.1)	34 413.00	.020	
Running book	23 (10.6)	46 (15.4)	32510.00	.641	
Other sources of information ^b	34 (15.7)	26 (8.7)	29 764.00	.014	

^a Mostly *Runner's World* magazine.

^b Mainly Internet, social media, or health professional.

As discussed earlier, information is slowly disseminating through the running community, but further improvement is still needed. The most frequently consulted source of information was the prerace magazine, and this publication should continue to be used to inform runners. Perhaps a more targeted approach starting at the grassroots level would help to increase the understanding of this subject area among the running community; recommendations have already been made to broadly target these athletes and to focus on certain roles within a running community. ¹⁰

CONCLUSIONS

Exercise-associated hyponatremia remains a medically significant problem for marathon runners. Education has led to certain improvements in runners' intended fluid strategies at the London Marathon, with a statistically significant reduction in the proportion of our cohort planning to drink

greater than 3.5 L and a higher proportion of these runners now planning on drinking to thirst, as recommended by the current medical guidelines for endurance athletes. Among our cohort, 94.6% felt they had enough information and 86.6% perceived they knew enough about safe hydration strategies. However, only 48% of these runners intended to drink to thirst, indicating a persistent and distinct lack of understanding of the topic. More effective education of runners about appropriate fluid intake and EAH is required to further reduce the risk and ultimately the incidence of EAH.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the participants in this study, the marathon officials who assisted with logistics, and the students from Queen Mary University of London.

Appendix. Questionnaire^a Continued on Next Page

Many thanks for taking part in this questionnaire. If you are not clear about any of the questions, please feel free to ask the researcher. Please answer the questions in order by putting a tick in the box or writing an answer on the line.

Background	d information about you as a runner:
1)	Is this your first marathon?
	Yes (and if Yes, please go to question 3)
	No
	If this is not your first marathon, how many previous marathons have you run? (please tick)
	1
	2–4
	5–9
	10 or more marathons
2)	What is your fastest time?hmin
3)	What is your estimated finishing time?hmin
4)	Are you running on behalf of a charity?
	Yes
	No
	If Yes, which charity?
5)	Are you a member of a Running club?
	Yes
	No
6)	Gender:
	Male
	Female
7)	Age:y
8)	How tall are you?
9)	What is your weight?
Information	on drinking on marathon day:
10)	Have you read about or been told about drinking fluids on marathon day?
	Yes
	No (if No, please go to question 11)
	If Yes, where have you received this information from? (please tick all that apply)
	London Marathon magazine
	London Marathon Web site
	Running club
	Running coach
	Running friends
	Running magazine (if so, which magazine?)
	Running book (if so, which book?)
	From the charity for which you are running
	Other sources of information (please specify; eg, other online source, smartphone app, library book etc)

Before the marathon:	
11)	Do you have any plans about drinking in the morning before the start of the marathon?
	Yes
12)	No (if No, please go to question 15) If Yes, what are you planning to drink?
13)	How much are you planning to drink?
14)	When are you planning to drink this?
During the marathon:	
15)	Do you have any plans about drinking during the race?
	Yes No (if No, please go to question 19)
16)	If Yes, what are you planning to drink?
17)	How much are you planning to drink?
18)	At what stages of the race are you planning to drink?
19)	Some people carry their own drinks from the start of the race – do you intend to do that?
	Yes
00)	No (if No, please go to question 25)
20)	If Yes, what drink will you carry?
21) 22)	Do you plan to drink water provided in bottles at water stations during the race?
	Yes
	No (if No, please go to question 25)
23)	If yes, at how many water stations will you take bottles of water?
24)	How much of each bottle do you anticipate that you will drink?
	A few sips
	Several mouthfuls
	Half the bottle
25)	Most or all of the bottle Do you plan to drink the sports drink (Lucozade) provided at the drink stations during the race?
20)	Yes
	No (if No, please go to question 28)
26)	If Yes, at how many drink stations will you take a sports drink?
27)	How much of each pack do you anticipate that you will drink?
	A few sips
	Several mouthfuls
	Half the pack Most or all of the pack
28)	What is the volume of each water bottle given out on the course?
20)	(please estimate if you don't know exactly)mls
29)	What is the volume of each pack of sports drinks given out on the course?
	(please estimate if you don't know exactly)mls
30)	What factors may affect how much you drink in order of importance?
	(please mark '1' for the most important for you, '2' for the second, '3' for the third most important and '4' for
	the least important)
	My plan How thirsty I feel
	The temperature on race day
	Other (please specify)
31)	Have you practised drinking water during your training?
	Yes
	No
32)	Have you practised drinking a sports drink during your training?
	Yes
	No
After the marathon:	
33)	Do you have any plans about drinking in the 6 hours after the marathon?
	Yes No (if No, please go to question 37)
0.4\	
34) 35)	If Yes, what are you planning to drink?
35) 36)	How much are you planning to drink?How often are you planning to drink?
	Total offer planning to drink:

information about fluids:	
37)	Do you feel that you know enough about what and how much to drink on marathon day? Yes No
	If No, what would help you to be better informed for future races?
38)	Have you heard of the term 'hyponatraemia' (low salt or sodium levels) Yes No
	If Yes, what is your understanding of its causes and effects?
39)	Do you have any other comments about drinking fluids on marathon day?

Many thanks for completing this questionnaire. Please return it to the researcher.

REFERENCES

- Fredericson M, Misra AK. Epidemiology and aetiology of marathon running injuries. Sports Med. 2007;37(4–5):437–439.
- Rasmussen CH, Nielsen RO, Juul MS, Rasmussen S. Weekly running volume and risk of running-related injuries among marathon runners. *Int J Sports Phys Ther*. 2013;8(2):111–120.
- 3. Speedy DB, Noakes TD, Schneider C. Exercise-associated hyponatremia: a review. *Emerg Med (Fremantle)*. 2001;13(1):17–27.
- Kipps C, Sharma S, Tunstall Pedoe D. The incidence of exerciseassociated hyponatraemia in the London Marathon. Br J Sports Med. 2011;45(1):14–19.
- Draper SB, Mori KJ, Lloyd-Owen S, Noakes T. Overdrinkinginduced hyponatraemia in the 2007 London Marathon. *BMJ Case Rep.* 2009;2009. DOI: 10.1136/bcr.09.2008.1002.
- 6. Noakes TD. Is drinking to thirst optimum? *Ann Nutr Metab.* 2010; 57(suppl 2):9–17.
- Noakes TD, Sharwood K, Speedy D, et al. Three independent biological mechanisms cause exercise-associated hyponatremia: evidence from 2, 135 weighed competitive athletic performances. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005;102(51):18550–18555.
- Almond CS, Shin AY, Fortescue EB, et al. Hyponatremia among runners in the Boston Marathon. N Engl J Med. 2005;352(15):1550– 1556
- Convertino VA, Armstrong LE, Coyle EF, et al. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. Exercise and fluid replacement. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 1996;28(1):i—vii.
- Hew-Butler T, Loi V, Pani A, Rosner MH. Exercise-associated hyponatremia: 2017 update. Front Med (Lausanne). 2017;4:21.
- Hew-Butler T, Ayus JC, Kipps C, et al. Statement of the Second International Exercise-Associated Hyponatremia Consensus Development Conference, New Zealand, 2007. Clin J Sport Med. 2008; 18(2):111–121.

- Hew-Butler T, Almond C, Ayus JC, et al. Consensus statement of the 1st International Exercise-Associated Hyponatremia Consensus Development Conference, Cape Town, South Africa, 2005. Clin J Sport Med. 2005;15(4):208–213.
- Hew-Butler T, Verbalis JG, Noakes TD; International Marathon Medical Directors Association. Updated fluid recommendation: position statement from the International Marathon Medical Directors Association (IMMDA). Clin J Sport Med. 2006;16(4): 283–292.
- Williams J, Tzortziou Brown V, Malliaras P, Perry M, Kipps C. Hydration strategies of runners in the London Marathon. *Clin J Sport Med*. 2012;22(2):152–156.
- London Marathon Race Results 2010. MarathonGuide.com Web site. http://www.marathonguide.com/results/browse.cfm?MIDD=16100425. Accessed April 11, 2018.
- Sharma S. London Marathon medical advice. Virgin Money London Marathon Web site. www.virginmoneylondonmarathon.com/en-gb/ training/staying-healthy/medical-advice. Published April 2014. Accessed February 22, 2018.
- London Marathon race results 2014. MarathonGuide.com Web site. http://www.marathonguide.com/results/browse.cfm?MIDD=16140413. Accessed February 22, 2018.
- 18. Gardner JW. Death by water intoxication. *Mil Med.* 2002;167(5): 432-434
- Severac M, Orban JC, Leplatois T, Ichai C. A near-fatal case of exercise-associated hyponatremia. Am J Emerg Med. 2014;32(7): 813.
- Chorley J, Cianca J, Divine J. Risk factors for exercise-associated hyponatremia in non-elite marathon runners. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2007; 17(6):471–477.
- 21. Hew TD, Chorley JN, Cianca JC, Divine JG. The incidence, risk factors, and clinical manifestations of hyponatremia in marathon runners. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2003;13(1):41–47.

Address correspondence to Jonathan Williams, MSc, Centre for Sports and Exercise Medicine, Queen Mary University of London, 80 Slade Road, Portishead, Bristol BS20 6BH, London, England. Address e-mail to jonathanwilliams1066@gmail.com.

^a The questionnaire is reproduced in its original form except that underlined spaces replaced boxes for answers.